NOW COMES , ALLEN MORSLEY , (Hereinafter "Petitioner") Appearing Pro se, in the above captioned matter , And hereby respectfully moves this Court by motion to appoint counsel in the interest of justice . As the Petitioner 60(b) challenge 'Is Also a challenge of the "Finding Of Violence", Pursuant to \$ 481.2, Of the Sentencing guidelines , Of his state court Att Burglary Conviction .

In Light Of <u>BLAKELY</u> V. <u>WASHINGTON</u>, 542 U.S. 2004, Petitioner Avers that these "additional findings" by Court Pursuant to' the <u>Guidelines</u> [w]ere and remains to be uncostitutional and there Judgements are "Void". Petitioner also alleges pursuant to <u>U.S.</u> V. <u>MIKALAJUNAS</u>, 186 F3rd. 490(4thCir. 1999), That he is <u>Actually Innocent</u> of any crimes of violence, that can be challenged only in the context of eligibility for application, of <u>Career</u> Offender or other habitual offender provisions under the Sentencing Guidelines.

Blakely, as plainly understood, makes the "additional"finding" that was not submitted to a jury a clear violation under the (6) Sixth Amendment, For which petitioner has spent the better part 10 years arguing with little success. It is note worth[y] that under the supreme courts ruling in Blakely, the petitioner sentence has all but expired when taking into account the enhancements such as Obstruction Of Justice And [C]ocaine [B]ase.. which were [n]ot submitted to a jury but the petitioner was sen-Under.

Petitioner using "Blakely V. Washington", 542 U.S. 2004"; for it's "Probative Value" would seem that these enhancments also are called into question and the petitioner invokes such under 60 (b)(5).

At the core of § 4B1.2, it sought to undermine the state fact - finding process by way of minipulating the elements of prior convictions Finalized. Where it is traditionally within the respective state legislateture to "define and enforce—the criminal law under the proper Jurisdiction under which the conduct constituted a crime ". As noted within <u>Blakely</u>, for its "probative Value"; petitioners Common Law Att. Burg additional finding of Violence ", would either have to be **stipulated** to [o]r submitted to a jury and proven beyond a reasonable doubt.

Because of time restraints , The petitioner can not at this time go further / Or Submit reasons for appointment of counsel under Seperate Arguments .

Respectfully Submitted This Day of My 2004

MR. ALLEN MORSLEY

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I ALLEN MORSLEY HERBY CERTIFY UNDER THE PENALTYS OF PERJURY THAT I HAVE PLACED A COPY OF SAID MOTION IN THE MAIL BOX POSTPAID TO COUNSEL FOR THE RESPONDENT THIS DAY OF AUG 2004 OF THIS DO I NOW AFFIX MY HAND

್ ಪರ್ಗಾಣ ಆಗುಗಳುತ್ತು

REG. NO. NAME\_MR. MITED STATES PENNENHARY ALLEN MORSLEY 14718056

Pust Office Box 3:15 EE COUNTY

U.S. FENITENTIARY - LEE CO. DATE\_ PO Box 900 - Jonesville, VA 2 12-04

The enclosed letter was processed through special molting "Special / Legal Mail"

neither opened or inspected. If the writer reprecedures for forwarding to you. The lett--mage to return the material for fieldem over which this facility be Clion. If the writer encloses ji to enother addresses, pleas

S

17108#0983

MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA HARRISBURG , PA. 228 Walnut Street P.O. BOX 983

17108

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE

office of the clerk



